

REMARKS

Claim 60-88 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menard of view of Akiba.

Claim 60 calls for storing a user-selected option on a receiver, said option associated with an event in a video transmission, monitoring and storing one video transmission on said receiver while tuning said receiver to display another video transmission, said monitoring to detect the event, and upon detecting the event, automatically switching from the display of said another video transmission to display said one video transmission, said display of said one transmission from a predetermined time before the occurrence of the event. Neither Menard nor Akiba specifically disclose displaying one video transmission while monitoring and storing another video transmission and automatically switching from the display of one video transmission to display a different video transmission in response to the occurrence of an event in the monitored transmission.

For example, in Akiba, the user selects a mode, record and/or reproduction. *See* column 3, lines 64-67. Therefore, Akiba fails to disclose an automatic switch in response to the occurrence of an event.

Likewise, Menard fails to disclose automatically switching a display from one transmission to a different transmission in response to the detection of an event. For example, one possible result of pattern matching is to *start* displaying video, auto and closed captioning. *See* page 12, lines 14-22; page 13, lines 10-22; and Figures 3 and 6. Because Menard *starts* displaying a video, audio and closed captioning data in response to a match, it is submitted that Menard fails to specifically disclose first displaying one video transmission and in response to the detection of an event in another video transmission automatically switching from the display of the first transmission to the second transmission. *See also*, Figures 1-3 and 6.

Similarly, in another embodiment, Menard fails to specifically disclose switching between different transmissions. For example, in the embodiment shown in Figures 8


and 9, the video, audio, and closed captioning are each captured and held in delay units 51, 52, and 55. *See* page 15, lines 17-27; Figures 8 and 9. When a pattern match is detected, the delayed feed may be sent to the display as one option. *See* column 16, lines 13-24. As with the other embodiment, Menard fails to specifically disclose that a different transmission was being displayed prior to the delay feeds being sent to the display. In other words, Menard fails to specifically disclose displaying one video transmission and switching the display of that video transmission to a different transmission in response to the detection of an event in the different transmission. Accordingly, as amended, claim 60 is distinguished over Menard in view of Akiba.

Under a similar analysis, independent claims 72 and 84 and their respective dependent claims are also believed to be distinguished over Menard in view of Akiba.

In view of the amendments and remarks herein, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. The examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested. The commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1504 (ITL.0319US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 23, 2004



Rhonda L. Sheldon, Reg. No. 50,457
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Customer No.: 21906